

**S A B F**

# TD Workshop 5

**26 July 2022**

*Run by*

**SID ISMAIL**

National Director

## *Contents of Workshop 5*

- *Knowing the law book*
- *A psyche at Congress*
- *Revokes*
- *Case Study*

**Q & A** time is reserved at the end of each session. If you have a lengthy issue, please revert to emailing me at **elsid480 [at] gmail.com**

**Finally, I am available on WhatsApp for urgent queries (082) 4119900**

# Know the Laws

1. Some bids are explained not by alerts but by announcements, e.g., “15-17” when 1NT is opened. What is the purpose of announcements? Why did we switch to this method selectively as opposed to alerting all the time?
2. “We do not have to alert anything over 3NT.” True or False?
3. Players call the TD at the end of the round (of 3 boards). “Director, there was a revoke on board 1. It has just come to light while we were reviewing the hand. Ask North, who agrees also.” Is it too late to entertain the request?
4. At the end of play a pairs rush to you “Dear TD, On board 21 there was an agreed hesitation in the bidding. We weren’t sure if it would cost us, but we are sure now. It seems that we were aggrieved. Can we look at the hand please?” Is it too late?
5. Learn hesitations and please watch this lovely YouTube exposition of a recent appeal in a major event – must see: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9ta8YJJ8vtU>
6. Are the last bids below (underlined) COMPARABLE? \*Bids out of turn\*

a) 1NT ... ~~2D\*~~(trf)  
 2S 3H

b) 1NT Pass 2D\* ...  
~~2H~~ 2S  
3H

c) 1NT Pass 2D\* ...  
~~2H~~ 2S  
Pass

d) ... ~~1S~~  
 1H 1S

e) ... ~~1S~~  
 2H 2S

# A psyche at Congress (qualifying round)

As the TD, I was called to the table at completion of play.

North: "My partner opened a multi 2D and West bid 2H! Pass by me, then my LHO bid 4H – P – P – back to me. I took the cheap save in partner's suit (spades, I thought) but instead got doubled for 5-off. Please redress."

Your thoughts on this one? Is this a red, amber or a green psyche?

Ruling please?

The screenshot shows a bridge game interface with the following details:

- Board:** Bd 23, Dlr S
- Bid:** 4♠ X-5 N
- Score:** 0-0
- Players:** W Tim Cope, N J G Dorfan, E michael alexander
- Hand 1 (North):** ♠ Q95, ♥ 863, ♦ A73, ♣ 10543
- Hand 2 (West):** ♠ 10632, ♥ —, ♦ Q10842, ♣ AK96
- Hand 3 (East):** ♠ AJ8, ♥ AJ95, ♦ KJ65, ♣ Q8
- Hand 4 (South):** ♠ K74, ♥ KQ10742, ♦ 9, ♣ J72
- Play Log:**

	W	N	E	S
				2♦*
2♥	P		4♥	P
P	4♠		X	P
P	P			

Website:

[www.elsid.co.za](http://www.elsid.co.za)

# Revokes

- 1.** At trick 5 it is realized that dummy has started with 12 cards only. The missing card (♣A) was on the floor (!) Dummy showed out on the club play at trick 3 and played the ♠3 instead (contract was 3NT). What happens now?
- 2.** South is declarer in 3NT and trick 7 was C3 from South – H5 – CQ – CK. As all players turn cards over West exclaims “I have a club, sorry!” Can he fix it with no penalty? The club he found was the ace! North (dummy) cannot change his card as it was his last club. Can East change his CK?
- 3.** Please download (and print) the EBU flowcharts regarding revokes. It explains number of tricks to be transferred and procedures to follow very nicely.

# Case Study

Event: 2022 S.A. Nationals (Teams)  
Here is Bd 16 from the qualifiers.

East led his trump to 4♥. Declarer won the queen when West ducked, then promptly cashed the ♠A-♠K throwing dummy's club. H4 was now played to the HK and ace. West plays the CJ, ruffed in dummy, HJ drawn then DJ – DQ – DK – DA.

Declarer now claimed 10 tricks.

The situation was as follows –

**Board 16, Deal W**  
4♥ = N

**North (Carol Grunder):**  
♠ AKJ5  
♥ Q4  
♦ K843  
♣ K97

**East (Mark Kenyon):**  
♠ Q94  
♥ 6  
♦ A76  
♣ AQ8632

**West (John Bryant):**  
♠ 87632  
♥ A1073  
♦ Q  
♣ J104

**South (Erica Zimet):**  
♠ 10  
♥ KJ9852  
♦ J10952  
♣ 5

Trick Count: 10

	W	N	E	S
P		1NT	P	2♦
P		2♥	3♣	4♥
P		P	P	

At this stage declarer has lost 2 tricks only. East is to play. Declarer claimed 10 tricks with no explanation.

East loudly states "Declarer has made a claim with no statement. The law thus allows me to tell declarer what to play next. Declarer is therefore -2."

The TD asked declarer to comment. Declarer said, "It is obvious – I will ruff a black card return and play on diamonds until they ruff."

How does the TD reach a decision here?

The screenshot shows a bridge game interface with the following details:

- Board:** Bd 16, Dlr W
- Contract:** 4♥ = N
- Players:** W John Bryant, N Carol Grunder, E Mark Kenyon, S Erica Zimet
- Score:** 4♥ -1 N
- Hand 1 (North):** ♠ J, ♥ —, ♦ 843, ♣ K9
- Hand 2 (South):** ♠ 876, ♥ 10, ♦ —, ♣ 104
- Hand 3 (East):** ♠ Q, ♥ —, ♦ 76, ♣ AQ6
- Hand 4 (West):** ♠ —, ♥ 98, ♦ 10952, ♣ —
- Trick-taking Table:**

W	N	E	S
P	1NT	P	2♦
P	2♥	3♣	4♥
P	P	P	
- Tricks:** A trick-taking table is visible in the center showing 5 diamonds and 2 hearts.